

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/080,920	02/20/2002	Motasim Sirhan	020460-000230US 1180	
20350	7590 05/21/2004	EXAMINER		
	D AND TOWNSEND RCADERO CENTER	WEBB, SARAH K		
EIGHTH FLO		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANC	CISCO, CA 94111-383		3731	

DATE MAILED: 05/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Cumment	10/080,920	SIRHAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sarah K Webb	3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed // will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ap</u>	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 April 2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-166</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-166</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-107 and 132-155, drawn to a balloon catheter, classified in class 604, subclass 96.01.
- II. Claims 108-159, drawn to a method for introducing a catheter, classified in class 623, subclass 903.
- III. Claims 160-166, drawn to a balloon catheter kit, classified in class 606, subclass 194.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the catheter could be introduced into the body in a location other than a blood vessel. Further, some of the method claims only pertain to certain species of the catheter and are not generic to the catheter.
- 3. Inventions III and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP §

Application/Control Number: 10/080,920 Page 3

Art Unit: 3731

806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the kit could include any catheter known in this broad body of art. The kit does not require the particular structure of the claimed catheter(s). The subcombination has separate utility such as being used alone with different methods other than the methods disclosed in the instructions.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Groups II and III is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention in Group I. If applicant elects Group I, applicant must further elect to a single species and subspecies. A proper election should include an election to a single type of balloon structure, a single type of catheter structure, and a single type of device. An example of a proper election is as follows: Group I, (a), i 3 (Figure E), ii 6 (Figure 1I). If applicant elects any of Figures 16A through 20, applicant must further elect to the subspecies under (a) Figure 4.

a. Figure 4

- i. Balloon
 - (1) Figure 1B or 1H
 - (2) Figure 1C or D
 - (3) Figure 1E or 1F
 - (4) Figure 2B
 - (5) Figure 2C

Art Unit: 3731

ii. Catheter

- (6) Figure 1I or 1J
- (7) Figure 2A
- (8) Figure 3A and B
- (9) Figure 3C
- b. Figure 5A and B
- c. Figures 6-11
- d. Figure 16A
- e. Figure 16B
- f. Figure 17A
- g. Figures 17B
- h. Figure 18
- i. Figure 19
- j. Figure 20

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a <u>listing of all claims</u> readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 4

Art Unit: 3731

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah K Webb whose telephone number is (703) 605-1176. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, McDermott or Shaver can be reached on (703) 308-0858. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3731

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SKW 5/19/04

> DAVID O. REIP PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6